Case 3:07-cv-02131-W-BLM Document 11 Filed 07/07/2008 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

2008 JUL -7 AM 8: 55

T.		CI FRE 40 Fig.	
	TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
RECEIVED DATE 6/25/2008			
	CASE NO.: 07cv2131 W (BLM) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner		
CASE TITLE: Arceo v. Ayers, et al			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion to File Late Response in Opposition to Report and Recommendation			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
		the such of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
~	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
X	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
		OTHER:	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE  ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
TIS HEREDI ORDERED:			
X	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a		
	copy of this order on all parties.		
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers		
	The state of the s		
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1		
	to Booth Rul	CHAMBERS OF: THOMAS J. WILLAM	
	Dated:		
	cc: All Partie	es .	